

Todays date: 2-11-2019

Dear Clerk, I am efilng to you two motions. Can you
please DKT these motions on the Magistrate Brian A. Tsuchida's
Calender DKT; and James P. Donchue, and The objection on Marsha J.
Pechman. These efiles are for two separate cases.

Thank you

From: Joe J.W. Roberts Jr., (Plaintiff)

Address: Monroe Correctional Complex Washington Corrections Center

Po Box 514

Po Box 900

Monroe WA 98272-9828 Shelton, WA 98584

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

AT SEATTLE

To: Courts, Defendants

Honorable Judges: Brian A. Tsuchida

Fr: Joe J.W. Roberts Jr., (Plaintiff)

Marsha J. Richman

No. C18-746-BAT-MJP — Roberts v. Khounphikay et al.

APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND OBJECTION AND
MOTION FOR MISCELLANEOUS RELIEF UNDER FRCP 50 (F)

THE RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM ACTIVE INTERFERENCES.

I am a party to this suit and this Court has jurisdiction over the Plaintiff. I need to stress what is going on! I have noticed a pattern in what the Department of Corrections is doing to me. Ever since I filed this lawsuit,

I have been transferred from one DOC facility to another Doc facility. This is unnecessary because Doc want keep me at a facility for no longer than two weeks. After a week or two, I am transferred from Shelton to Monroe, then from Monroe to Shelton, then Shelton to Franklin County, then Franklin County to

Shelton. ~~I have been~~ transferred at least 8-10 times approximately within a 15 to 30 day period. This has been ongoing since November 2018.

As a result, my mailing address is unstable, I'm receiving my e-files late, so late that it appeared they were withholding these sensitive documents.

The latest I received my e-file was ~~50~~ days. ~~Then test after that document~~ were the realization that the e-filings are not being processed under my case file. I have not had any of my legal property since December 25th 2018.

~~None however, I have been receiving my e-files~~

2 of 7

I would like to point out the obvious. I understand that my being transfers to the jail are necessary but when Doc transfers me to its facilities back and forth makes no sense and serves no penological purpose, like I explained in page one of this motion. It's ~~of~~ ^{an} ~~shame~~ and shames, obstructs and interferes with my ability to correspond with this Court.

I have a Constitutional right to be free from ^{active} unnecessary /interferences.

Transferring plaintiff to and fro from institution within

Doc serves no penological interest or purpose.

THE RIGHT TO HAVE MEANINGFULL ACCESS TO THE COURTS.

I have a constitutional right to access the Courts and access the law library. Because I am unable to access the law library, I am unable to support my arguments with law and research the law to support my claims.

Transferring Plaintiff to and fro from institution to institution

within Doc, deprives plaintiff of meaningful access to the

Courts and law library. ~~Deprives plaintiff of meaningful access to the Courts and law library.~~

PLAINTIFF'S CASE HAS MERIT.

1. My civil suit has merit. The Report and Recommendation shows the strengths of my case and its merit. Defendants filed a 12 (b) (2) motion to dismiss but the Courts recommends that my case not be dismissed.

So in virtue my case has merit to proceed further. See RKT SG.

3 of 7

2.

In the Courts Report and Recommendation, DKT. 50, the court stated that Defendants were responsible for some of the course of my treatment as alleged in my complaint. Plaintiff's case has merit to continue.

3. Khounphixay

Defendants admitted that she told me I will be moving to the IMU despite me notifying her I was suicidal. Khounphixay did absolutely nothing to ensure my safety. Then two days later, as Vilma promised she prearranged with custody to have me moved and despite me telling her I attempted suicide. Vilma admitted she had knowledge but despite this Vilma consciously ignored this risk and had me put in the IMU. Vilma admitted this practically in her answer's. See DKT. 19. Plaintiff's case those has merit to move forward.

4.

Plaintiff alleged in his complaint that he was placed in restraints as a form of punishment because I told her "I dont believe its a good idea to move me to the IMU, Because I was suicidal". Vilma ^{admitted} admitted that she put me in restraints. Defendant Vilma ^{argued} that It was to transition me to the IMU because I refused. Then argued Keenan v. Hall, about how they can use restraints to move an uncooperative inmate to a new cell - not move put a inmate who was asleep and refused placement due to being suicidal into restraints for 10 hours for no reason, then transition him to new housing. Crap is nuts! Plaintiff's case and claims have merits!!! Plaintiff never once asked to be housed indefinitely in a suicide cell!

Plaintiff's case is still at the pleading stage and Plaintiff has made progress with nothing really being done. My case is strong and has merit!

COMPLEX ISSUES AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES.

Circumstances

1. Plaintiff will need to cross examine witnesses at trial and plaintiff will need to interview correctional officers and inmates. The plaintiff does not know the names of the inmates or C/O's. The C/O's were at my cell and responded to my emergencies. The inmates were on the same unit and some of them heard me say I was suicidal some of them heard me say bang and self-harming. These statements are needed because it can prove that despite my being suicidal, Mental health continued to put me back in the same cell, and prove John Does were wrong.
2. One inmate I identified was James Williams. Officers who pulled me out of my cell because Nurse Jana checked my injuries. Another RN, put bandages on my hands and feet but I don't know the names of these C/O's or nurses. Another inmate who heard me screaming for an hour about needing help because I was so suicidal Mr. John Warren Melford Jr. I don't know where this inmate is. I will need all this to survive summary judgment too.
3. I have a constitutional right to litigate my case and collect statements, cross-examine witnesses and have a fair trial. I am unable to even afford to make copies ~~for~~ ^{at} \$20. That's how

poor I am. My incarceration greatly hinder's and limits my ability to litigate. I cannot seek a job, I cannot access inmates and C/O's and witnesses in my case. I physically do not have the liberty nor the resources. I'm incarcerated and poor. These are COMPLEX ISSUES AND EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES. What if one of the C/O's nurses or inmates left DOC by being fired or quitting or the inmate gets released from custody I would be unable to get a statement or discover this witness and cross-examine.

PREJUDICES.

It is clearly obvious that even if I am articulate and can articulate my claims, I still have a constitutional right to cross-examine witnesses, obtain witness statements, prepare my case meaningfully and have a fair trial. I would clearly suffer substantial prejudices and possible irreparable damage to my suit!

I am unable to get statements, I am unable cross-examine. I am unable to discover witnesses, I am prejudiced by this greatly due to being incarcerated. If one these undiscovred or discovered witnesses were to be fired, or quit, or an inmate was released from prison and I was unable to gather a statement or cross-examine them. Then I would suffer irreparable damage to my suit!

RELEIF. SEEKING COUNSEL.

Please grant me relief Plaintiff has attempted to seek counsel and is unsuccessfull.

1. Jefferey Kallis Civil attorney

6 702.8908 of 7

2. Darryl Parker Civil Attorney (206) 551-7719.

3. The Hatte Law Firm in Tacoma WA.

4. Jeffry Finer in Spokane WA. Civil attorney.

SUPPORTING CASE LAW TO SUPPORT MOTION.

In Keenan v. Hall, 83 F.3d 1083, 1093 (9th Cir., 1996) the Ninth Circuit held regarding "D. Access To THE COURTS: Inmates have a constitutional right to either assistance of a lawyer, or access to a law library. Bounds v. Smith, 430 U.S. 917, 928, 91 S.Ct. 1491, 1498, 52 L.Ed.2d 72 (1971)."

In Silva v. Villorio, 658 F.3d 1090, 11 Cal. Daily Op. Serv. 12255, 2011 Daily Journal D.A.R. 14345 (9th Cir, 2010), et [658 F.3d 1103]. The Ninth Circuit held, (we hold that prisoners have a right under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to litigate claims challenging their sentences or other conditions of their confinement to conclusion without active interferences by prison officials.)

The prison officials wont stop transferring me from prison to prison within DO.C. I have been without my legal property for approximately three months. Im receiving my e-files late. I just received DKT. 68 on 2/14/2019. As a result, I was /deprived/ unable to respond to their response!

By virtue my rights are being yet again violated!

Replies

7 of 7

RELIEF.

1. I pray this court grants Plaintiff assistance of counsel please.
2. Please resend all e-files after (68 DKT); I did not receive DKT 67, or any other DKT after 68; send me any notification telling me if the court has adopted the report and recommendation, or not.
3. Please send Plaintiff a DKT report history showing all the documents that were e-filed by all parties.

s/ Joe J.W. Roberts Jr. x February 17 2019
Washington Corrections Center
Po Box 900
Shelton, WA 98584